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## Outline <br> Improvements to Pegasus5
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- Support for cell-centered flow solvers
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- Triple-layers of fringe points
- Automatic decomposition into multiple hole-cutters
- Efficiency enhancements for manual hole cuts
- Conclusion


## Introduction

Motivation for Improvements to Pegasus5

- Add support for cell-centered grids
- Request from NASA MPCV Orion project
- DPLR currently supports multi-block and overset grids
- Leverage grid-generation work done for Overflow analysis
- Coupled with the Chimera Grid Tools software, provides a very powerful complex-geometry capability
- Three-fringe layers to support higher-order differencing schemes in Overflow
- Complex geometries drive need for improved automation and efficiency
- Reduce user input for hole-cutting
- Efficiency improvements in hole-cutting
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## Background: Pegasus5 Usage

Version 5 History: 1998 to present

- Enabled AST Program level-1 milestone: High-Lift Aircraft CFD in 50 days
- Space Shuttle Program Return-To-Flight
- Boeing high-lift and cruise CFD analysis
- Orion Launch Abort Vehicle

- ... and many more
- Distributed to over 350 outside organizations and users


## Background: Pegasus5 Features and Capabilities

 Current Version 5.1- Automatic hole-cutting
- Multi-step hybrid method using indirect and direct hole cutting
- Cartesian hole maps provide indirect representation of hole shape
- Line-of-sight test using surface-grid elements: direct refined hole cutting
- Hole optimization through use of "level 2" interpolation
- Internal projections between overlapping surface grids
- Finds best interpolation stencil through exhaustive search
- Parallel execution using MPI on shared and distributed memory systems
- Automatic restart capability
- Maintains manual hole-cutting capability from Pegsus4


## Background: Pegasus5 Limitations

Current Version 5.1

- Computationally expensive, this is mitigated by parallelization
- Stand-alone program: cannot be used for time-accurate moving-body problems
- Overflow cannot run in DCF mode and use the Pegasus5 XINTOUT file
- Cannot use automatic off-body Cartesian grids
- Cannot use Overflow adaptive grid refinement


## Cell-Centered Grids

## DPLR Code

- Data Parallel Line Relaxation
- Navier-Stokes hypersonic flow solver
- Structured, 3D, cell-centered, finite volume
- DPLR uses the dirtLib overset library from Ralph Noack
- Overset stencils and iblanks read in "dci" format


## Overset Requirements

- Input-grid coordinates located at vertex locations
- Hole points defined at the cell-centers
- Fringe points and donor points defined at cell-centers
- Work with hybrid multi-block/overset meshes: donor cell-centers span multi-block boundaries


## Cell-Centered Implementation

General Approach

- Generate cell-centered meshes with ghost cells
- Identify multi-block connectivity
- Projection: interpolate from wall vertices to cell centers
- ADT: build trees using cell-centers
- Interpolation: search using cell centers
- Hole cutting:
- All hole-cutting operations performed on vertex nodes
- Transfer blanking to cell-centers
- Cell-center is blanked if any 8 surrounding vertices blanked
- Identify fringe cell-centers: hole fringes, outer-boundary fringes, level-2 fringes
- Output: all stencils and iblank array written to ".dci" file


## Verification with dcintegrity Program

 Cell-center version of XINtegrity- Reads the pegasus5.dci file and the cell-center coordinates
- Verifies all fringe indices are valid
- Verifies all donor indices are valid
- Verifies all donor weights add to 1.0 for each fringe point
- Verifies all hole points are surrounded by fringe points
- Verifies that all fringe points are marked in the iblank array
- Verifies the interpolation stencils
- Error $=$ Interpolated donor coordinates - recipient coordinates


## Three Spheres Test Case

Periodic-grid verification test


## Orion Heatshield Test Case

Courtesy of Chun Tang/NASA Ames/TSA

- 2 Zones
- 1.3M points
- Suggar Fringes



## Orion Heatshield Test Case

Courtesy of Chun Tang/NASA Ames/TSA

- 2 Zones
- 1.3 M points
- Pegasus5 Fringes



## Orion Heatshield Test Case

## DPLR Convergence: $\mathrm{Mach}=23.5$



## Orion Heatshield Test Case

DPLR Results: Mach = 23.5

- Suggar Pressure



## Orion Heatshield Test Case

DPLR Results: Mach = 23.5

- Pegasus5 Pressure



## Orion Heatshield Test Case

DPLR Results: Mach $=23.5$

- Suggar Mach



## Orion Heatshield Test Case

DPLR Results: Mach $=23.5$

- Pegasus5 Mach



## Supersonic Retro-Propulsion (SRP) Test Case

 Courtesy of Kerry Zarchi/NASA Ames/TSA- 29 zones
- 20.8 M points
- Multi-block and Overset
- Pegasus5 wallclock $=100 \mathrm{sec}$
- 12 Intel Xeon CPUs
- One manual hole cut



## Supersonic Retro-Propulsion (SRP) Test Case

 Courtesy of Kerry Zarchi/NASA Ames/TSA- 29 zones
- 20.8 M points
- Multi-block and Overset
- Pegasus5 wallclock $=100 \mathrm{sec}$
- 12 Intel Xeon CPUs
- One manual hole cut



## SRP Test Case

Overset Fringes

- Suggar Fringes



## SRP Test Case <br> Overset Fringes
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## SRP Test Case

## DPLR Convergence: Mach=2.4



## SRP Test Case

## DPLR Drag Force Convergence: Mach=2.4



## SRP Unsteady Flow Fields

Pegasus5
Suggar


## Triple-Layers of Fringe Points

- Can request three layers for hole fringes and/or outer-boundary fringes
- Reports numbers of orphans in each of the first, second, and third layers
- Second and third layer orphans can be turned back into interior points



## Automatic HCUT Creation

- Enhance auto hole cutting using domain decomposition
- Current default: one hole-cutter
- Automatically creates bounding-box around all solid-walls
- Cut holes in all zones
- Current recommended practice is to create multiple HCUT hole-cutters: requires manual specification of bounding boxes


## Goal: Automatic Decomposition To Fit The Geometry

One Hole-Cutter


64 Hole-Cutters
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## Modifications to Painting Algorithm

- Required improvements to painting algorithm
- Detect which hole-cutter box corners are inside, which are outside
- Newly created corners use line-of-sight test to determine inside or outside
- Seed the painting only on the outside corners



## Wing-Body Test Case: Parallel CPU Usage

## 12 Intel Xeon CPUs

One Hole-Cutter: $512 \times 512 \times 512$


64 Hole-Cutters: 128x128x128


## Liquid Glide-Back Booster Example

64 Hole-Cutters


Fringe Elements


## Liquid Glide-Back Booster: Parallel CPU Usage

 12 Intel Xeon CPUsOne Hole-Cutter: $512 \times 512 \times 512$


64 Hole-Cutters: 128x128x128


## Manual-Hole Cut Efficiency Improvements

Recursive Cartesian Bounding Box Algorithm

- Taken from the Walldist program, now part of Overflow
- Wigton, Parlette, Biedron, Rumsey, Jespersen
- Exact Search
- Used to replace the old exhaustive search algorithm
- Speed-up: 10-20 times faster



## Manual-Hole Cut Efficiency Improvements

Recursive Cartesian Bounding Box Algorithm

- Construct boxes recursively: cut along longest axis, equal number of points in each half
- Search algorithm:
- Compute distance to each bounding box
- Find closest distance for each point in closest box
- If next-closest box distance < closest-point distance, search that box
- Repeat last step until
 box-distance > point-distance
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## Manual-Hole Cut Efficiency Improvements

 Marching Patch Algorithm- Provide initial guess for closest surface point
- Compute distance for surface points in subset patch
- Iteratively march the surface patch
- Stop when minimum-distance point does not change
- Inexact near surface creases, highly curved surfaces
- Provided as an option in the code
- Speed-up: 100-200 times faster


## Conclusion

- Cell-centered grids and DPLR support
- Produces holes and interpolation stencils for cell-centers
- Verified operation and results using several test cases
- Implemented a domain-decomposition approach to create HCUT hole-cutters:
- More efficient use of Cartesian elements
- Improved parallel efficiency
- Version 5.2 of Pegasus will soon be available for $\beta$-testing

